

104

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-18322-2017

Date of decision-07.08.2018

Rajinder Kaur

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.J.S.Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 20.01.2017 (Annexure P-5) whereby appointment of the petitioner on compassionate grounds has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that case of the petitioner is covered by the instructions dated 28.12.2005 (Annexure P-2). Further, he states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Director General of Police, Punjab Police Head Quarters, Sector-9, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 14.02.2017 (Annexure P-6) in view of Annexure P-2 and consider the facts in the light of application dealt in case of one Baljinder Kaur as reflected in Annexure P-7.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the

case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Director General of Police, Punjab Police Head Quarters, Sector-9, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 14.02.2017 (Annexure P-6) in view of Annexure P-2 and consider the facts in the light of application dealt in case of said Baljinder Kaur as reflected in Annexure P-7 within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to her, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to her, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible to her or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

07.08.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No