

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.122

Civil Writ Petition No.16573 of 2018
DECIDED ON: July 11, 2018

OM PARKASH SAINI

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

**THE MANAGING DIRECTOR, DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI
VITRAN NIGAM LIMITED AND OTHERS**

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to restore two increments, after compulsion of two years, which was not released to him.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though various representations including latest representation dated 30.05.2018 (P-6) were made to the respondents but till date no final action has been taken thereof. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to decide the aforesaid representation (P-6), within some stipulated period.

Civil Writ Petition No.16573 of 2018

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to consider the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the representation dated 30.05.2018 (P-6) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to the remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

July 11, 2018

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**