

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1645 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.01.2018

Diwan Singh and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. S.K. Rattan, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek issuance of directions to the respondents to enhance and grant same pay scale as is granted and being paid to similarly situated employees of Printing and Stationery Department by implementing recommendations of 5th Pay Commission under the State Govt., with all consequential benefits.

Learned counsel states, at this stage, he will be satisfied in case respondent No.2 is directed to consider the case of the petitioners in terms of Annexure P-6.

Heard.

In view of the statement made, the Court is not inclined to issue notice of motion, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Director, Department of Archives, State of Punjab, Puralekh Bhawan, Plot No.3, Sector 38-A, Chandigarh to consider the claim of the

petitioners in pursuance of Annexure P-6. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of three months thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

25.01.2018

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No