

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 16393 of 2018

Date of Decision: 09.07.2018

Neelam Kumari

...Petitioner

Vs.

Kurukshetra University and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Talim Hussain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has produced an advertisement which was not in his notice at the time of the filing of the petition, but, he submits that petitioner's claim rests on the public advertisement calling applications to fill the post of Research Associate on contractual basis. The remuneration advertised is different for NET qualified and those who are not. The petitioner is NET qualified but she has not been given the promised salary. Since the document is not on record, learned counsel for the petitioner prays to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh one by placing the advertisement on record on which, *inter alia* she bases her claim.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

09.07.2018

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*