

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.16360 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.07.2018**

Gurdev Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

Present: Mr. Harinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking direction to respondent No.3 to decide his representation dated 29.05.2018 (**Annexure P-28**).

We notice from the facts of the case that a lease-deed has already been executed after the auction process was carried out. The petitioner was a participant in the process, therefore, we would not comment on the legitimacy of the proceedings resulting in the lease deed in favour of respondent No.7 as successful bidder for want of any material.

The petitioner contends that he is in possession of the disputed property since long under a similar arrangement and respondent No.6 had leased out the said land to respondent No.7 without giving any advance notice to him.

This, to our mind, is not acceptable as the petitioner has participated in the auction. In any eventuality, the petitioner has a remedy to protect his possession, if legitimate. In view of the fact that a fresh lease has been executed, we are not inclined to interfere in the matter, but since the petitioner

limits his prayer to decide his representation, which he has made, we would, without commenting on the merits of the case, deem it appropriate to leave the issue of decision of representation to the wisdom of the competent authority.

Disposed of in above terms.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

July 09, 2018
Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>