

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1630 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.01.2018

Jasbir Singh @ Jasvir Singh and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek issuance of directions in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order dated 20.12.2016/22.12.2016 (Annexure P-3) whereby instead of promoting the petitioners, their cases have been deferred by keeping the posts vacant.

Learned counsel states that despite the order dated 19.11.2015 (Annexure P-2) passed by this Court, the juniors have been promoted. He further states that, at this stage, he will be satisfied in case respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the legal notice dated 04.12.2017 (Annexure P-6) in a time bound manner.

Heard.

In view of the statement made, the Court is not inclined to issue notice of motion, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Director, State Transport, Sector 17, Chandigarh to consider and decide

the legal notice dated 04.12.2017 (Annexure P-6) within eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of three months thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

25.01.2018

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No