

108

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-16213-2018

Date of decision-06.07.2018

Urjit Singh Mundi

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.S.K.Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent Nos.1 to 4 to restrain respondent No.5 from interfering in the work/business of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that case of the petitioner is covered by the ratio of law laid down in the judgment passed by this Court in CWP-2607-2011, titled as “**Subhash Chander Vs. State of Punjab and others**” decided on 11.02.2011 (Annexure P-3). He further states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3-Superintendent of Police, Rewari, Haryana to consider and decide the legal notice dated 12.06.2018 (Annexure P-1) in the light of Annexure P-3.

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the

case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Superintendent of Police, Rewari, Haryana to consider and decide the legal notice dated 12.06.2018 (Annexure P-1) in the light of Annexure P-3 within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible to him or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

06.07.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No