

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.16182 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: JULY 06, 2018

MAHENDER SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

UHBVNL AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Jagbir Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

By virtue of instant petition, preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release Rs.32,712/- of medical reimbursement with 18% interest.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner submitted the medical bills (P-1) for its reimbursement to the Managing Director, UHBVNL, Panchkula but vide letter dated 29.06.2012, the same were returned to the petitioner for removal of certain objections. After doing the needful, the aforesaid bills were re-submitted to the office of Chief Engineer OP, UHBVNL, Rohtak vide letter dated 30.09.2016 (P-3) but till date no information has been received by the petitioner from the respondents. Constrained with the in action with regard to the reimbursement of the aforesaid amount, the petitioner served legal notice dated 22.08.2017 (P-5) upon the

respondents but despite the fact that a period of more than 10 months has elapsed, neither payment has been made nor any reply has been received.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that he feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondents to decide legal notice (P-5) in a time bound manner.

4. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to consider the case unfolded by the petitioner in legal notice (P-5) and to take a conscious decision within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. If the concerned authorities come to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the reimbursement of medical claim, to calculate and make the payment thereof, within a period of next one month.

5. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority concerned, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to the remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

JULY 06, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>