

118

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16164-2018

Date of decision-06.07.2018

Harmandar Singh

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.B.D.Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent to regularize the services of the petitioner from the date on which his juniors have been regularized along with all consequential benefits at the rate of 24 % interest.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that claim of the petitioner is that the petitioner had been ignored and his juniors have been regularized. The petitioner is senior as he had joined on 27.10.1995 as reflected in appointment letter (Annexure P-1) and his juniors joined subsequent thereto. There is no inquiry or charge sheet pending against the petitioner. He further states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.1-Secretary, Government of Punjab, Irrigation Department Punjab, Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 01.05.2017 (Annexure P-7).

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1- Secretary, Government of Punjab, Irrigation Department Punjab, Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 01.05.2017 (Annexure P-7) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible to him or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

06.07.2018
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No