

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.139

Civil Writ Petition No.16125 of 2018
DECIDED ON: July 06, 2018

POONAM AND OTHERS

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTEHR

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Yash Pal Khullar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent No.4 to consider their claim under the Instructions dated 23.07.2014 (P-1) issued by Addl. Chief Secretary Haryana, Govt. Rural Local Bodies Department, Chandigarh as well as arrears be paid to them from the date of instructions.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that though a legal-cum-demand notice was made to the respondents on 27.01.2018 (P-4) but till date neither any reply to the said notice has been received nor any final order has been passed by the respondents. He further submits that petitioners feel satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to decide the aforesaid notice (P-4), in a time bound manner.

Civil Writ Petition No.16125 of 2018

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in the aforesaid notice dated 27.01.2018 (P-4) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order in the light of Instructions dated 23.07.2014 (P-1) as well as judgment in CWP No.9667 of 2018 captioned as ***Naiter Pal & ors. vs. State of Haryana & ors.*** decided on 20.04.2018, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioners still feel aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, they shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

July 06, 2018

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

Yes/No