

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-16052-2018

Date of Decision: July 26, 2018

Urban Estate Phase-II Welfare Association

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

Present: Mr.Inder Jit Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Sandeep Vermani, Addl.AG, Punjab for respondent
Nos.1&3.

Mr.Ashish Grover, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Mr.P.S.Rana, Advocate for respondent No.4

.....

SURYA KANT, J.(ORAL)

Written statement filed on behalf of respondent No.4 is taken on
record.

[2] Petitioner is stated to be Welfare Association comprising the
residents of Urban Estate, Phase-II, Model Town, Bathinda. Its grievance is
against the permission granted to 4th respondent to open the 'manufacturing
unit' of Beer Bar, Pub Bar in SCO Nos.21-22 in their locality. Its claim is
that such an action is contrary to PUDA's Policy, dated 04.12.2003.

[3] Though learned counsel for respondent No.4 urges that the writ
petition has been filed with an ulterior motive at the instance of business
rivalry with 4th respondent, nevertheless, we deem it appropriate to dispose
of the same without going into merits of the allegations and the counter-

allegations, with a direction to the Chief Administrator, Bathinda Development Authority to treat this writ petition as objection-petition on behalf of the petitioner-Association and take an appropriate decision as per Policy/law within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

July 26, 2018
meenuss

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |