

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

109

CWP-15971-2018
Date of decision : 05.07.2018

Preet Kanwal

..... Petitioner

VS

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present:- Mr. Mansur Ali, Advocate and
Mr. Imran A. Ali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for quashing the proceedings in pursuance of Annexure P-28 dated 24.10.2016 so initiated by respondent No.3.

2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not approached respondent No.3-Corporation for the relief as sought in the present writ petition. Accordingly a prayer was made for withdrawal of the writ petition to approach respondent No.3-Corporation at the first instance by filing a detailed and comprehensive representation. However, a prayer was made to issue direction to respondent No.3 to decide the same in a time bound manner.

3. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the controversy, we dispose of the present writ petition by granting liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-15971-2018

-2-

and comprehensive representation before respondent No.3, raising all the pleas as sought to be raised in the present writ petition. In the event of a representation being filed by the petitioner within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, the same shall be decided by respondent No.3 by passing speaking order after granting an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner within next three months in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

05.07.2018
anju

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No