

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1596 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.01.2018

Sukhdev Pal and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek issuance of directions to the respondents to pay arrears of difference of pay after restoration of 4 special increments in accordance with the judgments, vide Annexure P-8 to P-10).

Learned counsel states that, at this stage, he will be satisfied in case respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners in the light of judgment passed by this Court in LPA-1161-2009 (Annexure P-8) which was modified by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in SLP(C) 13027-2011, decided on 16.07.2014 (Annexure P-9) and in view of judgment passed in CWP-25992-2015 dated 28.04.2016 (Annexure P-10)

Heard.

In view of the statement made, the Court is not inclined to issue notice of motion, without adverting to the merits of the case, the

present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Chief Engineer, Ranjit Sagar Dam, Shahpurkandi Township, Tehsil & District Pathankot to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners in the light of Annexure P-8 to P-10 within eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of two months thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

25.01.2018

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No