

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1584 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.01.2018

Davinder Saini

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks issuance of directions to the respondents to consider the petitioner for the post of Technical Grade-I (Head Mechanic) from the date when he was initially appointed as Junior Technician (Mechanic).

Learned counsel states the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by Annexure P-4, P-5 and the judgment of this Court rendered in CWP-21444-2010 on 30.01.2013, titled as Harwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others.

Heard.

In view of the statement made, the Court is not inclined to issue notice of motion, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director, State Transport, Punjab, to consider the claim of the petitioner in the light of Annexure P-4, P-5 and the judgment of this Court rendered

in CWP-21444-2010 on 30.01.2013, titled as Harwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of three months thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

25.01.2018

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No