

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.15817 of 2018
Date of decision: July 02, 2018**

Ashok		...Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents

Coram: Hon’ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. Sonpreet S. Brar, Advocate,
 for the petitioner.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J. (Oral)

During the course of hearing, counsel for the petitioner submits that the application filed by the petitioner for seeking 8 weeks' parole on account of illness of his son under Section 3(1)(d) of the Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1988, has already been dismissed by the Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak.

In view thereof, the present petition, only for the purpose of seeking parole, has become infructuous and is dismissed as such. However, the petitioner may, if so advised, challenge the order passed by the Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak, by way of a separate petition.

**July 02, 2018
vinod***

**(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge**

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No