

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1575 of 2018
Date of decision: 25.1.2018

Jyoti Ram and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Ram Niwas Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Petitioners were appointed as Hindi Teachers in the year 1999-2003 in the Classical and Vernacular (C&V) cadre. The next promotion from the posts of Trained Graduate Teacher (TGT) and Hindi Teacher is to the post of Post Graduate Teacher (PGT). 67% of the posts in the PGT cadre are filled by way of direct recruitment and the rest 33% from amongst TGT and Hindi Teachers. The case set out in the petition is that the posts falling in the quota of direct recruits have since been filled. And the limited grievance that the petitioners have is; that vide order dated 06.05.2016 (Annexure P5), the respondents have promoted the persons junior to the petitioners in their cadre. Particularly when criterion for promotion to the post of PGT is only seniority or on the basis of length of service. Petitioners are alleged to have represented to the respondents as regards their grievance even earlier. The respondents were even served with a legal notice dated 17.10.2017 (Annexure P6). But to no avail. And no result is insight either.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners, as also the legal notice dated 17.10.2017 (Annexure P6). And pass an appropriate order, in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. In the event, the claim of the petitioners is declined, the respondent authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

25.01.2018
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO