

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 1565 OF 2018
DECIDED ON : 25.01.2018**

Chander Shekhar

...Petitioner

versus

Union Territory and others

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present : Mr. V. K. Sachdeva, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner, under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, has impugned the order dated 25.04.2017 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Appellate Authority under Clause 17 of the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme 2006, whereby the appeal filed by the petitioner for allotment of a flat has been dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter-alia, argued that documents Annexures P-11 to P-28 and Annexure P-2 appended along with the writ petition, were brought to the notice of the Appellate Authority, however, the same have neither been considered nor dealt with by the Appellate Authority. Accordingly a prayer was made that an application shall be filed bringing these facts to the notice of Appellate Authority and the petitioner may be permitted to withdraw this writ petition, at this stage.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 1565 OF 2018

-2-

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies, as may be available to him, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

JANUARY 25, 2018
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether reportable :

YES/NO
YES/NO