

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 15644 of 2018  
Date of decision: 04.7.2018**

**Diploma Veterinary Association Haryana**

**... Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Lal Lajpat Rai University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Hisar and  
others**

**... Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI,  
CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: Mr. Sardavinder Goyal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)**

Petitioner-a Diploma Veterinary Association has approached this Court seeking a writ of Certiorari for quashing decision dated 28.5.2018 (Annexure P-4), passed by respondent No.1, whereby the minimum prescribed educational qualification for admission to Veterinary Livestock Development Diploma course through entrance test has been drastically changed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner referring to Annexure P-4,

which is the minutes of 258<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Board of Studies of College of Veterinary Sciences, points out that earlier the minimum prescribed qualification was 10+2 with Physics, Chemistry and Biology with minimum of 45% marks in aggregate, except for SC Category, from a recognised Board of School Education, which has now been changed to 10+2 examination from any stream, with no minimum marks prescribed. It is also pointed out that though the minimum prescribed qualification is 10+2 examination, but the syllabus of entrance test has been lowered down to that of matriculation/10<sup>th</sup> standard examination of the Board of School Education Haryana, Bhiwani/CBSE, New Delhi. He further submits that these changes have been brought in only on the request made by the Association of Private Veterinary Colleges with the sole purpose to benefit said colleges, where large number of seats were lying vacant. He also submits that lowering down the minimum prescribed qualification to any stream other than Science, would definitely have its toll on the ultimate merit of the veterinary professional.

The issue is one, which requires serious consideration. However, from the pleadings, we find that the petitioner has already made a representation dated 31.5.2018 (Annexure P-5), with respect to the grievances which have been raised in this writ petition, before respondent No.1. Since the issue is one which will require investigation into the question of facts as well as to the object and reason of bringing in this amendment, it would be appropriate for the authorities who have access and can appreciate factual aspects to address the grievances of the petitioner at the initial stage. In such circumstances, we dispose of the writ petition by commanding respondent No.1 to decide the representation, dated 31.5.2018

**CWP No. 15644 of 2018**

**3**

(Annexure P-5), moved by the petitioner, in accordance with law, by a reasoned and speaking order, within a period of two weeks from today. The petitioner is under obligation to obtain and serve a copy of this order on respondent No.1 within 48 hours. The decision taken by respondent No.1 shall be duly intimated to the petitioner.

**(KRISHNA MURARI)**  
**CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(ARUN PALLI)**  
**JUDGE**

**July 04, 2018**  
AK Sharma

|                              |     |
|------------------------------|-----|
| Whether speaking / reasoned: | YES |
| Whether Reportable:          | NO  |