

114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 15629 of 2018
Date of Decision : 25.6.2018**

Hari Kishan Gupta and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and Another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr.S.K.S.Bedi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed against the action of the respondent No.2 to seal under construction premises of the petitioner.

Learned counsel states that at this stage he would be satisfied if direction is issued to the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Gurugram to decide his representation (P-10) in a time bound manner.

I find this to be a fair request. Consequently, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.-2- Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Gurugram to decide the representation

(Annexure P-10) by passing a speaking order within 10 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

25.6.2018
anuradha

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No