

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1558 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.03.2018

Rupinder Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. R.K. Arya, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks issuance of directions to the respondents to consider the petitioner for granting local rank promotion in the rank of Assistant Sub Inspector equal to his junior Head Constables (respondent Nos.5 to 10) who were granted local rank promotions to the post of Assistant Sub Inspector.

Learned counsel contends that the petitioner was enrolled as Constable on 19.11.1989 whereas respondent Nos.5 to 10 who had joined much later were promoted to the post of Assistant Sub Inspector. He states that case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the ratio of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vetran Nigam and others** Vs. **Bachan Singh**, 2009(3) Apex Court Judgments 076 (SC). He further states that for the redressal of his grievance, the petitioner has submitted representation dated 13.01.2017 (Annexure P-1) and at this stage, he will be satisfied in case the

competent authority is directed to consider and decide the case of the petitioner(s) in the light of above said judgment, within a specified time.

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent-2 to consider the case of the petitioner(s) in the light of assertion made. If, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the case of the petitioner is at par with the petitioner(s) in the above said judgment, the competent authority is directed to pass the consequential order within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

07.03.2018

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No