

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1544 of 2018

Date of decision : 30.01.2018

Jasbir Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. A. S. Barnala, Advocate for the petitioners.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have approached this Court by way of filing the present petition with the grievance that they are co-owners of the property in dispute and impugned order dated 22.09.2017 (Annexure P-3) has been passed at their back. When they came to know about passing of the impugned order, they immediately filed the present petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the impugned order is going to prejudice the interest of the petitioners without being party in that litigation. Learned counsel further submits that this petition be treated as representation and the same be considered before taking any action in pursuance of impugned order dated 22.09.2017 and the said order has not been implemented so far.

Considering the submission made by learned counsel for the

petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the grievance of the petitioners as made out in the present petition, treating it as representation, before implementing order dated 22.09.2017. In case the presence of petitioners is required before taking any action, opportunity of hearing be given to them.

30.01.2018

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No