

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CWP No. 20660 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: January 22, 2018

Bhagat Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Sharma, Sr. Panel Counsel
for respondents no.1 and 2.

Ms. Anita Balyan, Advocate,
for respondents no. 3 and 4.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

1. Pursuant to order dated 18.12.2017, PGIMER, Chandigarh have constituted medical board on 14.11.2017. Thereafter, medical board submitted report. Copies of the communications dated 14.11.2017 and 15.01.2018 are taken on record.

2. Perusal of medical report, it has been recorded as under:-

“Endocrinological and Medical opinion – During examination there was no signs of hyperhydrosis was documented. He was also investigated for the known causes of hyperhydrosis (Thyroid function, Growth Hormone Profile, MRI of sella) and nothing found abnormal.”

3. In view of these developments, concerned respondent is hereby directed to consider the petitioner's claim for selection and appointment. Petitioner's claim read with medical report is also supported by earlier decision rendered by this Court in the case of ***Vikrant vs. Union of India and others***, reported in ***2017(3) SCT, 791***.

4. In view of these facts and circumstances, concerned respondent is hereby directed to take necessary action within a period of two months from today. If petitioner is otherwise eligible, in that event, all service benefits should be extended on par with his junior's appointment except arrears of salary.

5. Accordingly, present petition stands allowed.

January 22, 2018
vkd

[P.B. Bajanthri]
Judge

Whether reasoned / speaking : Yes

Whether reportable : No