

121

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-15370-2018

Date of decision-03.07.2018

Lakhwinder Singh and others

Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. HPS Bhinder, Advocate for the petitioners.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners for their selection to the post of Jail Warders in pursuance to Advertisement No.2, dated 12.10.2011 (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3-Director General of Police (Jails), Prison Department, Punjab, SCO No.8-9, Sector 17-A, Chandigarh, to consider and decide the legal notice dated 24.05.2018 (Annexure P-9).

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-

Director General of Police (Jails), Prison Department, Punjab, SCO No.8-9, Sector 17-A, Chandigarh, to consider and decide the legal notice dated 24.05.2018 (Annexure P-9) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible to them or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

03.07.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No