

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 21596 of 2015
Date of Decision: 04.04.2018**

Chander Lal and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited Thr. Its MD and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. B.S. Rathee, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 1& 2.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

Mr. Mahajan brings to the notice of this Court two similar writ petitions having been dismissed by this Court. Those cases are CWP No. 28663 of 2013 Phool Singh Vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 13.01.2016 and CWP No.22873 of 2010 Krishan Kumar and others Vs. Haryana Vidyut Parsaran Nigam Limited and others with other connected cases decided on 15.07.2016. Both these petitions have been dismissed. The Court held that the selection of Assistant Lineman (ALM) of the year 1997 was not challenged by the petitioners till filing of the present petition. The selection process had concluded many years ago. No waiting list was prepared in any case and the Court observed in the same recruitment process that as per instructions of Haryana Government dated 28.01.1996, the validity of the waiting list of the year

1997 would be over by the year 1998. When this writ petition was filed in the year 2015 there was a note in the index regarding similar case that is CWP No. 17543 of 2015 which was disposed of on 24.08.2015 with the direction to decide the representation in pursuance of the directions of this Court, a speaking order was passed on 20.10.2015 appended as Annexure R1/4 with the written statement filed by the Nigam in Dharam Veer Vs. Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited and others. Dharam Veer was declined relief. The case of Dharam Veer was rejected with his name did figuring in the merit list of the year 2009. The petitioners are even lower in merit than the last selected candidate as per the merit list of the year 2009. Accordingly, even on merits, the petitioners have no case.

It may be noticed that Dharam Veer has challenged the speaking order and the writ petition is pending, but, that will make no difference to the present case in view of the judgments noticed above.

The petition stands dismissed.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

04.04.2018

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*