

123
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sanjiv Kumar Sharma
2018.06.08 13:08
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CWP No. 15336 of 2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 6.6.2018

Anand Kumar

..... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Principal Accountant General and others

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate,
for petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Petitioner is working in Accountant General office. Some disciplinary proceedings are pending against him. Earlier, he was ordered to be evicted from Government accommodation, allotted to him. He moved Chandigarh Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh (CAT), which granted injunction order. However, same was vacated on 10.5.2018. Now, fresh order dated 29.5.2018 has been passed.

The learned counsel for petitioner contends that since disciplinary proceedings are pending against petitioner, he could not be evicted from Government accommodation. It is further contended that matter is still pending. The CAT does not have jurisdiction in the matter of house allotment. Therefore, he has moved this Court.

I am of the view that petitioner has not withdrawn his earlier petition filed before CAT. The CAT is already seized of the matter. The order of CAT dated 10.5.2018 has not been challenged. Now, stay order has been vacated. Otherwise also, Government house was allotted to him as licensee. The licence can be revoked anytime by Government department. No ground to stay the operation of impugned order dated 29.5.2018, passed by respondent No. 3 is made out. Consequently, writ petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

6.6.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No