

117 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 15317 of 2018
DECIDED ON: JULY 03, 2018**

CHHOTE LAL

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Satish Goel, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant him the benefit of one increment w.e.f. 01.07.2006 as per notification No. 06/2010/4 PF (FD), dated 16.04.2012 issued by the Government of Haryana, re-fixation of pay and revise his pension with further direction to grant due arrears along-with interest @18% per annum.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though a legal notice dated 06.05.2013 (P-8) was duly served upon the respondents but till date neither any reply to the said notice has been received nor any final order has been passed by the respondents.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No. 2 to decide his legal notice dated 06.05.2013 (P-8) in a time bound manner.

4. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the afore-said legal notice (P-8) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order considering all the facts narrated in the aforesaid legal notice within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available to him under law including to approach this Court.

JULY 03, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No