

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****Date of decision: 21.04.2018****CWP No.17046 of 2017**

Naveen Chand

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Ravi Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

While issuing notice of motion, this Court expressed its tentative view that the petitioner should not be aggrieved by the impugned order of transfer posting him 35 Kms. away from Jind to Narwana, but set the petition in motion on the contention that respondent No.5, namely, Krishan Kumar, Welder Instructor at Government Industrial Training Institute, Narwana, had spent 18 years of service in Jind. The State had to answer that the impugned order has actually been passed to accommodate respondent No.5.

Today, Mr. Rathee has filed written statement on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4, which is taken on record. He explains that the petitioner is a direct recruit of 2014 and having served for three years was posted in the general transfers while respondent No.5 may have been in service in Jind for the last 18 years.

The petitioner can make no grievance of his transfer in the normal course as per the transfer policy which allows transfers every three

years. Accordingly, the petitioner has no locus standi to question the past service of respondent No.5, when he was not even a member of the service prior to 2014.

Accordingly, no ground for interference is made out and the present petition is ordered to stand dismissed.

21.04.2018
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>