

**CWP No.15050 of 2018
DECIDED ON: JUNE 01, 2018**

GULWANT SINGH SATHI

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Petitioner in person

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the following reliefs:

1. issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to decide the representation (P-2) keeping in view the ratio laid down by this Court in CWP No. 25733 of 2012, LPA No. 352 of 2014 and CWP No. 2866 of 2014;
2. issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to re-fix/revise his pension w.e.f. 01.01.2017 pay scale of ₹10300-34800 with grade pay of ₹5400/- with initial pay of ₹20,300/- in terms of Government of Punjab, Department of Finance (Finance Personnel-1 Branch) Circular dated 30.12.2016 (P-1)
3. issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to make payment of consequential benefits to him flowing upon grant of benefit in terms of prayer made (b) above along-with penal interest for the delay and compensation bestowed upon him to indulgence in this unavoidable lis.

2. Petitioner has submitted that his case is fully covered under the guidelines laid down by this Court in CWP No. 25733 of 2012, titled as **A.P. Sharma and others vs. State of Punjab and others;** decided on 22.10.2013. Moreover, he approached the department by way of filing a representation dated 24.01.2017 (P-2) but despite of the fact that a period of more than 15 months is going to expire neither any reply has been received nor any conscious decision has been taken.

3. At this juncture, petitioner submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to consider and decide his representation dated 27.01.2017 (P-2) in a time bound manner.

4. Without expressing much but considering the afore-said aspects, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated 27.01.2017 (P-2) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law, rules, regulations and circular dated 30.12.2016 (P-1) and also in view of **A.P. Sharma's case (supra)**, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under law including to approach this Court.

JUNE 01, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*
Whether reportable *Yes/No*