

Sohan Lal

.....Petitioner

versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**

\*\*\*

Present:- Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate  
for the petitioner

**Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)**

The services of the petitioner were terminated vide order dated 04.07.2006. He preferred an appeal against the said order. A copy whereof is annexed as Annexure P-2. The said appeal has not been decided till date.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G. Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Let six copies of the complete paper book be supplied to him during the course of the day.

In view of the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to seek written statement.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 3, to consider and decided the appeal within a period of four weeks after granting an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

June 01, 2018

*reena*

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]**  
**JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No****Whether Reportable : Yes/No**