

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.21176 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 2.5.2018**

Arun Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajiv Narain Raina

Present: Mr.Shailender Mohan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms.Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG Haryana.

Rajiv Narain Raina, J. (Oral)

There is no manner of doubt that this case is covered by the decision of Division Bench of this Court in CWP No.21275 of 2015 titled *Pulkit Pareek Vs. State of Haryana and another* decided on 22.12.2016 in turn relying on Division Bench judgment in *Sachin Vs. State of Haryana and others*, 2009(3) SLR 763 and *Amit Chillar Vs. State of Haryana and another* 2008(4) SCT 329.

Accordingly, it is held that case of the petitioner could not have been rejected on the ground of overage for recruitment as Sub Inspector in police in the same advertisement in which Pulkit Pareek succeeded. The petition is allowed in the same terms.

2.5.2018
Meenu

**(Rajiv Narain Raina)
Judge**

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No