

**214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 21166 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.05.2018.

Satish Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sunint Kaur, AAG Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, the petitioner seeks direction to the respondents in the nature of mandamus to promote him as Class III employee i.e. Clerk/Bill Clerk from the same date as his juniors have been promoted.

During the pendency of present petition, the petitioner has been promoted from the post of Fitter Helper to the post of Clerk. It is asserted that the petitioner ought to have been promoted from the date, the juniors to the petitioner as indicated in Annexure P-13 have been promoted.

Considering the fact that the substantial relief has been granted, the present petition has been rendered infructuous particularly in view of the stand taken by the State that no person junior to the petitioner was promoted to the post of Clerk.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

Still, if the petitioner feels aggrieved, he is free to represent before respondent No.2 for redressal of his grievance, if any, within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order, who shall pass a speaking order within six weeks thereafter.

17.05.2018.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No