

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-16628-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-06.02.2018.

Jasveer Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. J.P.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

In the instant case, petitioner has prayed for a direction to the respondents to appoint him on compassionate ground.

Petitioner's father while he was in service, he died on 11.08.1991. Petitioner for the first time submitted application on compassionate ground as and when he attained major in the year 2013. Compassionate appointment is not a fundamental right. It is a measure to meet harness in the family. Therefore, when the petitioner and members of the deceased family survived between 1991 to 2013, there is no hardship in the year 2013 so as to seek compassionate appointment. Supreme Court time and again held that insofar as compassionate appointment is concerned, matter is required to be settled at the earliest with reference to the date of death of deceased employee. In the present case, petitioner's father died on

2013. Thus, there is inordinate delay in seeking compassionate appointment. Accordingly, petitioner has not made out a case to seek a direction to the respondents to consider his name for compassionate appointment.

Accordingly, petition stands dismissed.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in identical circumstances, respondents have appointed candidates. Petitioner is not entitled to get an illegal benefit. In other words, illegality cannot be perpetuated.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

February 06, 2018.
sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.