

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.14882 of 2018

Date of Decision: 20.08.2018

Vaishno Dutt and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Gagneshwar Walia, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

The petitioners are serving in the Police Department as Class-IV employees. They have made a representaiton and served a legal notice on the respondents to count their service prior to regularization for the purpose of pension and retiral benefits as per old GPF scheme. They claim that the matter is covered by several decisions of this Court including in CWP No.1665 of 2017 and connected matters decided on August 09, 2017 in lead case i.e. *Budhi Singh and others v. State of Punjab and others*. The learned Single Judge relied on the decision in CWP No.2371 of 2010, *Harbans Lal v. State of Punjab* decided on August 31, 2010. The legal notice dated February 18, 2018 has not been addressed by the respondents and, therefore, the petitioners have approached this Court for directions for a decision on their grievance. I deem it appropriate that in the first instance, the view of the department should be made known and, therefore, learned counsel submits that his clients would be satisfied if aforesaid legal notice is directed to be decided expeditiously.

This request is fair and reasonable. The decision is much delayed. Therefore, a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the aforesaid legal notice within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

With these directions and observations, the petition stands disposed of.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

20.08.2018
manju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No