

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

218

**Civil Writ Petition No.16612 of 2017
Date of decision: March 01, 2018**

Raj Kumar and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL***

Present: Mr. P.K. Ganga, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Satish Singla, Advocate for
Mr. Vikas Garg, Advocate for respondent No.2.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

1. The prayer in this petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to allot the plots/commercial sites to the petitioners as well as other eligible candidates by constituting a special committee, headed by any judicial officer or retired judicial officer as the matter is pending before the respondent since 1997 and despite the fact that this Court has issued the direction time and again, but till date, no allotment has been made to the eligible persons.

2. At the outset, when learned counsel for the petitioners was confronted with the prayer made in this writ petition, he submitted that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioners to file fresh one on the same cause of action by making proper prayers and by incorporating complete factual matrix in that regard.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn.

4. It shall, however, be open to the petitioners to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to them, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

March 01, 2018
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No