

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.14847 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 01.06.2018

Satpal Singh and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. PKS Phoolka, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.1 to 3 to grant rejoining/recalling on duty to the petitioners at par with respondent Nos.4 to 43 as Home Guards.

Learned counsel states that at this stage he would be satisfied in case the respondent No.2-Additional Director General of Police (Home Guards) and Director Civil Defence, Punjab, Head Quarter, Sector-17, Chandigarh is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 08.05.2018 (Annexure P-1) in a time bound manner.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Additional Director General of Police (Home Guards) and Director Civil Defence, Punjab, Head Quarter, Sector-17, Chandigarh to consider

and decide the representation dated 08.05.2018 (Annexure P-1) within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

01.06.2018
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No