

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.14812 of 2018

Decided on : 17.12.2018

Gulab Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. PKS Phoolka, Advocate for the petitioner.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

The petitioner who is 57 years old seeks appointment as Lecturer w.e.f. the date the other persons of her batch were appointed, with all consequential benefits. A prayer is made for quashing of the speaking order dated 23.12.2015 (Annexure P-4), whereby age relaxation has been given to her as directed by the Division Bench in the judgment passed in **CWP No.8457 of 1999 'Sandeep Kumari and others Vs. State of Punjab and another'** decided on 08.08.2013 (Annexure P-6), whereas the consideration for appointment was rejected by the competent authority.

It is not disputed that the advertisement is dated 19.08.1992, whereby the posts were advertised for recruitment of Lecturers, Masters and Mistress in various subjects. The age of the petitioner is 57 years and she is already due to retire in a year's time, even if appointed.

The claim that the petitioner should now be appointed against the vacancies of 1992, on account of litigation which remained pending has rightly been rejected by the competent authority. The pandora box cannot be permitted to be opened at this stage only on account of the fact that the litigation remained pending before this Court.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.14812 of 2018

-2-

Faced with this situation, counsel does not press the present writ petition and prays for liberty to approach the appropriate forum for the alternative relief in accordance with law, if any.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

DECEMBER 17, 2018
Naveen

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No