

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.20009 of 2016

Date of decision : 08.02.2018

Prem Singh Govt. Contractor

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Rajdeep Singh Gill, Advocate for
 Mr. P. S. Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Anu Chatrath, Addl. A. G., Punjab.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the amount has been released to the petitioner and the petitioner is also entitled for interest but in spite of serving legal notice, the same has not been released.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in the agreement entered into between the parties, there was no clause of interest.

In any case the petitioner is entitled for interest or not, the respondents are directed to take action on the pending legal notice. In case the decision is taken against the petitioner, he is at liberty to avail the

appropriate remedy. The necessary exercise be done within a period of two months.

Disposed of .

08.02.2018

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No