

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

166

CWP-14709-2018

Date of Decision: May 31, 2018

Joginder Singh and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Sachin Gupta Ladwa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioners is that they have been appointed to the post of *Peons* but they have been asked to work as *Chokidar*.

A perusal of impugned order Annexure P-6, shows that since the work of the petitioner No.1 as peon was not satisfactory, therefore, he has been allotted the work of Chokidar. Whether the working of the petitioners is satisfactory or not cannot be examined in exercise of power under Article 226 of the Constitution of India being a question of fact.

In view of the above, the writ petition has no merit and is accordingly dismissed.

May 31, 2018

sonia arora

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No