

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.21240 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 28.09.2018

Dhian Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Aditya Arya, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Monica Chhibber Sharma, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

CM-14608-CWP-2018

Allowed as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.

Main Case

Vide order dated 09.02.2017, the matter was ordered to be heard along with CWP-16117-2012. The prayer in both the petitions are separate and distinct, therefore, these are ordered to be segregated.

The petitioner seeks issuance of directions to the respondents to step up his pay/salary at par with his juniors, namely Sukhwinder Singh and Monica Jaggi from the date they were promoted to the master cadre and further quashing of order dated 02.07.2014 vide which the respondents have claimed the recovery of excess amount from the petitioner.

The issue of seniority was reopened in view of the judgment

passed in CWP-185 of 2014. The learned counsel for the petitioner refers to Annexure P-16 to contend that he being senior deserves to be given one step up.

Learned State counsel informs that the seniority list placed by learned counsel for the petitioner has not attained finality and the objections have been sought from the concerned employees to accord finality.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as having become rendered infructuous. However, in case on finalization of the seniority list, the grievance of the petitioner still subsists, he shall have the liberty either to revive the present petition or file afresh.

28.09.2018

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No