

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.14272 of 2018

Date of Decision: 01.06.2018

Saroj Bala and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. S.K. Nehra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG Haryana.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

When this matter came up for motion hearing on
30.05.2018, this Court passed the following order:

Notice of motion.

*On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr.
DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents
No.1 to 4 and waives service on them.*

Let notice be issued to respondents No.5 & 6 only.

Process dasti only.

*Mr. Rathee prays for and is granted time to obtain
instructions from the Secretary, Secondary Elementary
Education, Haryana, as to what action the Department
proposes against respondent No.6 – Raj Kumar, a
dissatisfied Science Master looking for benefit of LTC and*

making complaints against his superiors, who had already passed the order dated 23.02.2018 to the effect that sanction is being accorded for payment of one month's salary on account of unutilized Leave Travel Concession/HTC for the block year 2016-19.

The grievance having been redressed, he made a complaint on 01.03.2018, which went to the District Grievance Committee, Karnal headed by respondent No.5 - a Minister of the State and got the Minister to turn his wrath against the petitioner and she has been threatened suspension from service. List again on 01.06.2018. To be shown in urgent list.

A copy of this order be given to Mr. Rathee under the signatures of the Bench Secretary.

Today, Ms. Goyal on instructions from Mr. Rajiv Rattan, Director Secondary Education, Haryana has made a statement that the competent authority will not be swayed by any recommendation of the District Grievance Committee, Karnal headed by respondent No.5. She has further assured the Court that the Director would take an independent & uninfluenced decision.

Nevertheless, in case the explanation of the petitioners are called for, they will submit their replies. Those replies would be considered objectively and a rational decision will be taken on the

outcome of the explanation letter.

Accordingly, without adverting to any further order or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of on the assurance given by Mr. Rajiv Rattan, Director Secondary Education, Haryana through learned Law Officer.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

01.06.2018
kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No