

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-15902-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision:05.12.2018**

Krishna Bharti & others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. Lalit Kumar Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Sunil Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Rukhsaar Dhindsa, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Ishrat Phulka, Advocate for respondent No.5.

...
TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL).

Mr. Lalit Kumar Sharma, Advocate appearing on behalf of Mr. Sunil Kumar Sharma, Advocate makes a statement at the bar that he has instructions from the petitioners not to pursue the instant writ petition.

In the light of such statement made by counsel, there would be no requirement for filing reply on behalf of the respondents and as such the requirement of giving effect to the order dated 10.05.2018 as regards payment of costs for filing reply would loose its effect.

As per statement made by counsel, petition is dismissed as not pressed.

05.12.2018
harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No