

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.14155 of 2018
Date of Decision: 30.05.2018

Sh. Bikram @ Vicky and another

. Petitioners

Vs.

Appellate-Tribunal-cum-District, Magistrate and others

. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: - Mr.Mandeep Kumar Dhot, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

This petition is directed against the order passed by the District Magistrate, Sangrur dated 18.4.2018 by which application filed by respondents No.2 & 3 under Section 22(2) of the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 [for short 'the Act'] has been allowed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has not denied that the property belongs to respondent No.2 i.e. Beena Rani. The petitioners have failed to point out any flaw or error in the impugned order for the purpose of interference.

It is now well settled that if the property is owned by the senior citizen/parents, who is being harassed mentally/physically by the occupant of the house being the son, daughter or legal heir, the said senior citizen/parents may file application before the District Magistrate under the Action Plan for the purpose of seeking eviction. This is what

has exactly been done in the present case. In the absence of any error in the impugned order, there is no scope of interference.

Dismissed.

30.05.2018

Vivek

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No