

**124 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
 AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 14051 of 2018
DECIDED ON: MAY 30, 2018**

SURESH KUMAR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

HARYANA POWER GENERATION CORPORATION LTD. & ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Jaspal Singh Maanipur, Advocate,
 for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Through instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing letter dated 21.05.2018 (Annexure P-7) whereby relief claimed by the petitioner for regularization of his services as T-Mate and granting him pay of regular employee w.e.f. 01.01.1988 instead of 1992 has been declined, being arbitrary and passed by incompetent authority i.e. respondent No.3.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that similarly situated employee namely Bhagirath Singh has already been granted the benefit

vide order 29.12.2009 (P-4) whereas in the instant case similar benefit claimed through legal notice dated 09.05.2018 (Annexure P-6) has been declined by Resident Engineer, WYC HE Project, HPGCL, Bhudkalan i.e. an incompetent authority on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3, simply on the ground that it suffers from delay and latches.

3. The petitioner retired from service on 31.08.2016 and subsequent thereto, he approached the department and ultimately, served legal notice dated 09.05.2018 (Annexure P-6). Moreover, the Resident Engineer cannot be termed to have the jurisdiction of appointing or punishing authority. He is simply a Drawing and Disbursing Officer. Since the Resident Engineer is not a competent and authorized officer to take a decision with regard to the benefit claimed by the petitioner from respondents through legal notice, the decision taken vide letter dated 21.05.2018 (Annexure P-7) is erroneous.

4. In the given circumstances, legal notice deserves to be reconsidered, that too, by the competent authority i.e. Chief Engineer, Haryana, Power Generation Corporation Ltd., DCRTTP, Yamunagar (Haryana)-respondent No.2.

5. Without expressing much, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2-Chief Engineer, Haryana, Power Generation Corporation Ltd., DCRTTP, Yamunagar (Haryana) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated 09.05.2018 (Annexure P-6) and take a conscious decision in accordance with rules, regulations and instructions issued by the department as well as the orders passed in the similarly situated employees, that too, by passing a speaking order, within a

period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, the petitioner feels aggrieved qua any order passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under law including to approach this Court.

MAY 30, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>