

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.1404 of 2018

Date of Decision : 29.01.2018

Parveen Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Jagjeet Beniwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copy furnished.

In the nature of order I propose to pass in the matter, no formal written statement(s)/counter affidavit(s), on behalf of the respondents, is indeed necessary, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of learned counsel for the parties the petition is disposed of finally.

The petitioner is employed as Conductor with the respondent-department. And, vide order dated 08.06.2017 (Annexure P-2), he was transferred to Jhajjar. Pursuant to the said order the petitioner had even joined at Jhajjar. However, vide order dated 29.12.2017 he was again transferred to Faridabad. The order under challenge dated 29.12.2017 (Annexure P-3) has been assailed on multiple grounds.

However, learned State counsel submits that in the matter involving similar issues, as regards transfer of the employees of the Transport Department, Haryana, this Court vide order and judgment dated 01.09.2017 (Annexure P-7), as also the judgment dated 12.12.2017, rendered in CWP No.24190 of 2017 (Dilbag Singh Vs. State of Haryana and others) had issued comprehensive directions to the department to deal with similar issues. Thus, he submits that the grievance/claim of the petitioner shall be considered in terms thereof and respondents shall pass appropriate orders within six weeks from today.

That beings so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing substantive survives in this petition and the same be disposed of in the above terms.

Ordered accordingly.

29.01.2018

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No