

**CWP No.14023 of 2018
DECIDED ON: MAY 30, 2018**

SURINDER SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. B.S. Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to release the gratuity, leave encashment, arrears of dearness allowance along-with interest @12% per annum with further direction to clear his medical reimbursement bill

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner stood retired as Accountant on attaining the age of superannuation on 31.01.2018 but till date he has not been disbursed with retiral benefits. He further contends that even the medical bill amounting to ₹94,001/- has also not been sanctioned or paid to the petitioner despite the fact that it was submitted in the Month of March 2018. At this juncture, he submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to consider and decide the legal notice dated 26.04.2018 (P-1) in a time bound manner.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 26.04.2018 (P-1) and to take a conscious in accordance with law, rules and regulations, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case, competent authorities comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief(s) claimed, same be released to him within a period of next 45 days, if there is no impediment. Factum of interest in view of judgment passed by Full Bench of this Court in case ***A.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab & Ors., 1997 (3) SCT 468*** as well as judgment passed by this Court in CWP No.8772 of 2015 captioned as ***Charan Dass vs. State of Punjab & Ors.*** decided on July 11, 2017, be also considered on delayed payment(s) and also in view of instructions bearing No. 1/15/90-IFPIII/4226, dated May 10, 1990 issued by the Department of Finance, Government of Punjab. .

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under law including to approach this Court.

MAY 30, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>