

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP no. 13933 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 29.05.2018

Neeraj Chaudhary

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Director General, Town and Country Planning, Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present : Mr.J.S.Mehndiratta, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

MAHESH GROVER, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner states that respondent no.2 has been erroneously granted license without taking into consideration the capacity, the bona fides and other requisite essentials for the licence under the Act.

He thus prays that aforesaid has been brought to the notice of the Director General, Town and Country Planning, Haryana but no response has been received.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, we notice that the grievance of the petitioner originates from a business dispute with respondent no.2 and therefore, we would decline interference in the writ petition but since a representation (Annexure P-11) has been made by the petitioner in this regard which may have bearing on the project itself, we, without commenting on the merits of the case, direct the Director General,

Town and Country Planning, Haryana to look into the grievance as raised in Annexure P-11 and decide the same as expeditiously as possible.

Disposed of.

(Mahesh Grover)
Judge

29.05.2018
rekha
Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No

(Rajbir Sehrawat)
Judge