

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.130

Civil Writ Petition No.13720 of 2018
DECIDED ON: May 28, 2018

BHAGAT SINGH AND OTHERS

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

**CHIEF MANAGING DIRECTOR, HARYANA VIDYUT PARSARAN
NITAM LIMITED, SECTOR 6, PANCHKULA AND AND OTHERS**

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition, preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to re-fixation their pay in view of the judgments passed by this Court in writ petitions No.5563-A/1989 and 16084/1997 and upheld by Hon'ble Apex Court vide order dated 08.12.2015 (P-6) AND further directing the respondents restore the deductions made from the payment of additional Dearness allowances w.e.f. 01.05.1973 onwards along with arrears as well as to quash the deduction/cut ordered vide offering parts of paragraph 3 & 4 of (P-1) in respect of ad hoc relief allegedly paid in excess.

Civil Writ Petition No.13720 of 2018

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though legal notice dated 25.01.2018 (P-9) was moved to the respondents but till date no final decision has been taken. He further submits that petitioners feel satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to decide the aforesaid legal notice (P-9) in view of judgments referred in para 1 of this order, within some time bound manner.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in the legal notice dated 25.01.2018 (P-9) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law, rules and regulations, particularly in view of the judgments referred in para 1 of this order, which as per the version of learned counsel for the petitioners have attained finality, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, in case petitioners still feel aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authorities, they shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

May 28, 2018

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**