

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.20662 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 01.08.2018

Kamaljit Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Madhu Dayal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 07.04.2014 (Annexure P-8) vide which the request of the petitioner for grant of revised grade pay in view of the notification dated 15.12.2011 has been rejected.

On the oral request made by learned counsel for the petitioner, Member Secretary, Sixth Pay Commission, Room No.214, Mini Secretariat, Punjab, Sector-9, Chandigarh is ordered to be impleaded as respondent No.4. The Registry is directed to amend the memo of parties accordingly.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage she would be satisfied in case respondent No.4-Member Secretary, Sixth Pay Commission, Room No.214, Mini Secretariat, Punjab, Sector-9,

Chandigarh is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 14.01.2017 (Annexure A-2). She further states that the earlier application moved during the subsistence of fifth pay commission stands rejected.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4-Member Secretary, Sixth Pay Commission, Room No.214, Mini Secretariat, Punjab, Sector-9, Chandigarh to consider and decide the representation dated 14.01.2017 (Annexure A-2) expeditiously preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

01.08.2018

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No