

211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-15417-2017 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 12.10.2018**

Sahil Shandilya

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**

Present: Mr. A.S. Walia, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. H.S. Sitta, AAG, Punjab.  
Mr. Harit Sharma, Advocate for the respondent(s)-GMADA.  
\*\*\*\*

**MAHESH GROVER, J. (ORAL)**

Concededly, there is a remedy of appeal under Section 45 (5) of the Punjab Regional and Town Planning and Development Act, 1995. The petitioner, if so advised, may take recourse to his remedy.

We in the exercise of writ jurisdiction cannot entertain this petition, where the petitioner himself has defaulted in making payment as per schedule and now questions the demand raised by the respondents.

However, in case such appeal is filed within a period of one month from today, the question of limitation will not come in the way of the petitioner and the appeal shall be decided on merits.

The present writ petition stands disposed of.

**( MAHESH GROVER)  
JUDGE**

**( AMIT RAWAL )  
JUDGE**

**12.10.2018**  
Yogesh Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned    **Yes/ No**  
Whether Reportable            **Yes/ No**