

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.123

Civil Writ Petition No.13665 of 2018
DECIDED ON: May 28, 2018

BALJIT KAUR

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTEHR

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. R.S. Bajaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release family pension and other monetary benefits to her as if her husband Sh. Bhag Singh had never been dismissed from service by reviewing his dismissal order as has been done by respondent No.1 in case of other similarly situated persons like her husband and to release all the said arrears along with interest @ 15% per annum from the due date till the same are actually paid AND FURTHER directing the respondents to consider the case of her son for compassionate appointment as a Constable and thereafter to appoint him as such.

Civil Writ Petition No.13665 of 2018

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though representation dated 06.03.2018 (P-6) and legal notice dated 04.04.2018 (P-7) were made to the respondents but till date no conscious decision has been taken by the concerned authorities. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the aforesaid representation/legal notice (P-6 & P-7), within some specified period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Director General of Police, Punjab, Police Head Quarter, Sector 9, Chandigarh to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the representation dated 06.03.2018 (P-6) and legal notice dated 04.04.2018 (P-7) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order in accordance with law, rules and regulations issued by the Government from time to time, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, in case petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authorities, she shall be at liberty to have have recourse to other remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

May 28, 2018

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**